

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/673,382	09/26/2003	Bharat T. Doshi	Doshi 55-7-23-15-35	8409
46850 7590 08/17/2007 MENDELSOHN & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 1500 JOHN F. KENNEDY BLVD., SUITE 405 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19102			EXAMINER	
			MANOSKEY, JOSEPH D	
THEADELTHA, TA 19102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2113	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

-4	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Interview Summary	10/673,382	DOSHI ET AL.	
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Joseph D. Manoskey	2113	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
.(1) <u>Joseph D. Manoskey</u> .	(3)		
(2) Edward Meisarosh (Reg. No. 57,463).	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>14 August 2007</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)⊡ applicant's representative	e)	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.	·.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 21</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Grover</u> .	; <u>.</u>		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. of	g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	nature of what was agreed to	if an agreement was	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	opy of the amendments that w	reed would render the claims rould render the claims	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTE requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APPLICANT IS / DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO	
		•	
	·		
		•	
•			
	Run	el Bonzo	
	Just	E P RONZO	
b	PRIMAF	RY EXAMINER	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Meisarosh discussed with The Examiner possible amendments to claim 1 to overcome the prior art of record. The Examiner suggested some possible ways to clarify the limitation and informed Mr. Meisarosh that proposed amendment overcame the current rejection. Mr. Meisarosh also discussed the "has been calculated" limitation of claim 21 and argued that this limitations was not taught by the prior art. It was discussed possibly changing the limitation to "has previously been calculated" and moving the limitation out of the preamble to further clarify and give more emphasis to the limitation. The Examiner argeed with Mr. Meisarosh that the limitation was not taught by the prior art.